

**Effects of Foundation Characteristics and Building
Separation Gap on Seismic Performance of Mid-rise
Structures Incorporating Soil-Foundation-Structure-
Interaction**

By

Quoc Van Nguyen

A thesis submitted in fulfilment
of the requirement for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology
University of Technology Sydney (UTS)

July 2017

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I hereby certify that the work embodied in this Thesis is the result of original research and has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate



(Quoc Van Nguyen)

Sydney, July 2017

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This PhD project could not have been possible without the assistance, understanding, and guidance rendered by numerous people throughout the project.

The author would very much like to express his appreciation and gratitude to his principal supervisor, Associate Professor Behzad Fatahi, for his limitless support, tireless contributions, and guidance throughout this work. The author would also like to very much thank his co-supervisors, Dr. Harry Far, and Associate Professor Hadi Khabbaz for their presence and willingness to help throughout the years.

Furthermore, the author would like to thank staff of the Faculty of Engineering and IT team, especially Dr. Matthew Gaston, Mr. Phi Nguyen, Mr. Christopher Dundov and Mr. Jason Chen for their extensive assistance in conducting the advanced computations on Faculty clusters.

Warm thanks to my friends and colleagues for sharing their time and friendship with the author and rendered precious help. Special thanks to Dr. Aslan Sadeghi Hokmabadi and Mr. Ruoshi Xu for their collaboration and kind assistance during the project. The author also feels a deep sense of gratitude to all the academic and non-academic staff in the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology for the help rendered.

Words are not enough to thank my family for the support they have given me during this long and sometimes difficult journey. The author would very much like to express his love and gratitude to his mother, wife and two kids for their love and support, and for instilling in me the value of learning and providing me outstanding opportunities to do so throughout my life. Without their endless help, I would not be able to achieve and enjoy these successes.

LIST OF PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS BASED ON THIS RESEARCH

PEER-REVIEWED JOURNAL PAPERS

1. Nguyen, Quoc Van, Fatahi, Behzad and Hokmabadi, S Aslan. 2016. 'The effects of foundation size on the seismic performance of buildings considering the soil-foundation-structure interaction', *Structural Engineering and Mechanics*, Vol. 58, No. 6 (2016), page 1045-1075.
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12989/sem.2016.58.6.1045>.
2. Nguyen, Quoc Van, Fatahi, Behzad and Hokmabadi, S Aslan. 2017. 'Influence of Size and Load-Bearing Mechanism of Piles on Seismic Performance of Buildings Considering Soil–Pile–Structure Interaction', *International Journal of Geomechanics*, Vol. 17, No. 7 (2017), page 04017007-1-22.
DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)GM.1943-5622.0000869.
3. Fatahi, Behzad, Nguyen, Quoc Van, and Xu, Ruoshi. 2017. 'The response of mid-rise buildings sitting on pile foundations to seismic pounding'. *International Journal of Geomechanics*. (Accepted)

PEER-REVIEWES CONFERENCE PAPERS

4. Nguyen, Quoc Van, Fatahi, Behzad and Khabbaz, Hadi. 'Three dimensional numerical simulation to predict performance of laterally loaded piles on clay-sand layered slope'. *International Conference GeoMontreal 2013*, Sep-Oct 2013, Montreal, Canada.
5. Nguyen, Quoc Van, Fatahi, Behzad and Hokmabadi, S Aslan, 'Influence of Shallow Foundation Characteristics on Seismic Response of Mid-rise Buildings Subjected to Strong Earthquakes', *International Conference GeoChina 2016*, July 25-27, 2016, Shandong, China, Page 117-124.

ABSTRACT

Seismic waves travel many kilometres and pass through soil layers close to the ground surface before hitting the structures. The seismic induced dynamic behaviour of structures built on soft soil is highly dependent on the soil properties and the foundation type due to their interactions during an earthquake event. The design of building structures needs to consider seismic soil-foundation-structure interaction, where the building responses vary significantly depending upon the fixity of the base condition due to the interaction between the ground and the foundation as well as the building structures. This interaction is called “Seismic Soil-foundation-structure-interaction” (SSFSI). For a typical soil and foundation, SSFSI analysis shows lower natural frequency of the structural system and higher effective damping ratio compared to the traditional analysis with fixed base condition. This can considerably alter the response of the building frames under the seismic excitations by influencing the structural demand of the building as well as amplifying the lateral deflections and inter storey drifts of the superstructure. This phenomenon is highly influenced by the foundation type (i.e. shallow and deep foundation) and may change the performance level of buildings in the performance based design approach. Therefore the interaction should be considered in design of buildings subject to seismic activities so as to provide a safe and cost effective structural system.

In this study, a rigorous numerical modelling approach was developed and used to build numerical tests for different foundation types and sizes as well as the pounding effects between buildings. The results consisted of lateral deformation, inter-storey drifts, levelling shear forces of the structures, foundation rocking, impact force and pile responses. These parameters cover a wide range of earthquake inputs and foundation characteristics.

The first step was that the soil-pile-interaction numerical behaviour was investigated in a case study of lateral loaded pile considering the shear plastic deformation of the layered sloping ground including sand and clay layers. Appropriate subroutines were adopted to simulate the soil-pile-interaction which included the incorporation of gapping and sliding (in normal and tangential directions) at the interface. A wide range of parameters for this numerical modelling was validated through comparison with an array of a full-scale lateral loaded pile experiments.

Secondly, dynamic characteristics of soil-foundation-structure system were investigated for seismic response of a mid-rise moment resisting building on shallow foundation under four well-known earthquakes. By adopting a direct calculation method, the numerical model can perform a fully nonlinear time history dynamic analysis for three-dimensional numerical model with different foundation sizes where the infinite boundary, sliding and separation in soil-foundation was taken into account. In addition, the influence of foundation sizes on natural frequency and structure response spectrum was also studied. The results confirmed that when the size of shallow foundation is reduced, the natural period would lengthen, the base shear would reduce significantly while the lateral deformation, inter-storey drift and foundation rocking would increase.

Thirdly, the comprehensive pile foundation investigation concludes that the type and size of a pile foundation that supports mid-rise buildings in high-risk seismic zones can alter the dynamic characteristics of the soil-pile-foundation system during an earthquake due to soil-structure-interaction. It is not true to believe that longer piles can provide safer condition under earthquake loading. In fact, by increasing the length of floating piles the structure undergoes more maximum lateral deflection, more inter-story drift and more total maximum levelling shear force but less foundation rocking. This can be explained due to the fact that longer pile foundation has higher contact surface with surrounding soil which enable them to absorb extra seismic energy. This finding can be the recommendation for design engineers that the pile should not lengthen too much to reduce the seismic effects.

Finally, the separation gap between moment resisting and two shear wall braced buildings on pile foundation under seismic loading was studied. The result from this numerical modelling showed that pounding impact influences the distribution of shear force which disturbs the natural vibration and in extreme case, causes collapse. The outcome of this study provides essential insight to geotechnical and structural engineers when designing neighbouring structures in earthquake prone areas.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
LIST OF PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS BASED ON THIS RESEARCH.....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xx
LIST OF NOTATIONS	xxiii
ABSTRACT	vi
Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Highlighted features of this study	2
1.3 Objectives and Scope of Study	3
1.4 Organisation of the Thesis	4
Chapter 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 General	6
2.2 Seismic soil-foundation-structure interaction concept.....	7
2.3 Soil-foundation-structure interaction analysis: procedures for design.....	12
2.3.1 General design procedure considering seismic loading.....	12
2.3.2 National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP)	14
2.3.3 Australian and New Zealand codes	17
2.3.4 American Society of Civil Engineers design code (ASCE 7-10)	18
2.4 Well-known earthquakes used for benchmark analysis	21
2.4.1 The 1940 El Centro earthquake	21
2.4.2 The 1968 Hachinohe earthquake	24

2.4.3 The 1994 Northridge earthquake	25
2.4.4 The 1995 Kobe earthquake	28
2.5 Soil-pile interaction under lateral loading.....	31
2.6 Seismic soil-foundation-structure interaction for shallow foundation.....	37
2.7 Seismic soil-foundation-structure interaction for pile foundation	44
2.8 Seismic soil-foundation-structure interaction considering pounding between adjacent buildings	49
2.9 Summary	53
Chapter 3 THREE DIMENSIONAL NUMERICAL SIMULATION TO PREDICT PERFORMANCE OF Laterally Loaded Piles on Clay-Sand Layered Slope	55
3.1 General	55
3.2 Introduction	55
3.3 Numerical simulation for lateral loaded pile on clay-sand layered slope	56
3.3.1 Case study description	56
3.3.2 Finite element model	57
3.3 Results and discussion	61
3.4 Summary	66
Chapter 4 THE EFFECTS OF FOUNDATION SIZE ON THE SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF BUILDINGS CONSIDERING THE SOIL-FOUNDATION-STRUCTURE INTERACTION.....	68
4.1 General	68
4.2 Introduction	69
4.3 Characteristics of the soil-foundation-structure system.....	72
4.3.1 Characteristics of the adopted moment resisting building frame	72
4.3.2 Characteristics of the soil and shallow foundations.....	74
4.4 Numerical model.....	75

4.4.1 Structural model.....	76
4.4.2 Soil model.....	79
4.4.3 Contact surfaces.....	85
4.4.4 Boundary conditions.....	86
4.4.5 Input earthquake records.....	89
4.5 Results and discussion	89
4.6 Summary	106
Chapter 5 INFLUENCE OF SIZE AND LOAD-BEARING MECHANISM OF PILES ON SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF BUILDINGS CONSIDERING SOIL-PILE- STRUCTURE INTERACTION.....	108
5.1 General.....	108
5.2 Introduction.....	108
5.3 Overview of the Soil–Pile–Structure System Adopted.....	111
5.4 Numerical model.....	114
5.4.1 Structural Model and Adopted Earthquake Records	115
5.4.2 Soil Model	115
5.4.3 Pile–Soil Interface and Boundary Conditions	119
5.5 Results and Discussion.....	125
5.5.1 Flooring Lateral Deflections and Inter-story Drifts.....	125
5.5.2 Shear forces developed in the columns.....	131
5.5.3 Rocking of Foundations.....	133
5.5.4 Response Spectrum and Natural Frequencies.....	134
5.5.5 Bending Moments and Shear Forces in the Pile Elements	139
5.5.6 Lateral Pile Deflections	152
5.5.7 Bending Moments along the Piles	153
5.5.8 Shear Force along the Piles.....	153

5.6 Summary	154
Chapter 6 THE RESPONSE OF MID-RISE BUILDINGS SITTING ON PILE FOUNDATIONS TO SEISMIC POUNDING	156
6.1 General	156
6.2 Introduction	156
6.3 Characteristics of a Soil-Pile-Structure System	160
6.3.1 Features of adopted buildings	160
6.3.2 Characteristics of pile foundations	163
6.3.3 Characteristics of soil	165
6.4 Numerical Model	166
6.4.1 Building and foundation models	167
6.4.2 Soil model	169
6.4.3 Contact surfaces for pounding simulation	171
6.4.4 Interfaces between piles and soil	175
6.4.5 Boundary conditions	177
6.4.6 Input earthquakes	178
6.5 Results and Discussion	179
6.5.1 Response spectra and natural frequencies	180
6.5.2 Shear forces	183
6.5.3 Rocking of foundation slabs	188
5.4 Lateral deflection and inter-storey drift	190
6.5.5 Lateral pile deflections	192
6.5.6 Bending moments along the piles	195
6.5.7 Shear forces along the piles	198
6.6 Summary	199
Chapter 7 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	201

7.1 Conclusions	201
7.1.1 Conclusions based on the research related to laterally load pile on clay-sand layered slope	201
7.1.2 Conclusions based on research the seismic soil-foundation-structure interaction for shallow foundation	202
7.1.3 Conclusions based on research considering the seismic soil-foundation-structure interaction for pile foundation	203
7.1.4 Conclusions based on research on the seismic soil-foundation-structure interaction considering pounding between buildings	204
7.2 Recommendations for future works	204
REFERENCES	206

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Soil-structure interaction model including SDOF structure and idealised discrete system to represent the supporting soil (after Wolf 1985)	9
Figure 2.2 Equivalent soil-structure interaction model (after Wolf 1985).....	9
Figure 2.3 Equivalent one degree of freedom (after Wolf 1985).....	10
Figure 2.4 Damping ratio and Modulus Degradation depending on cyclic shear strain for cohesionless soil (after Seed <i>et al.</i> 1986).....	21
Figure 2.5 1940 El Centro earthquake details.....	23
Figure 2.6 1968 Hachinohe earthquake details.....	25
Figure 2.7 1994 Northridge earthquake details.....	27
Figure 2.8 1995 Kobe earthquake details.....	30
Figure 2.9 Soil – pile system under lateral loading (after Reese <i>et al.</i> 2006)	32
Figure 2.10 Beam theory applied for a vertical pile in soil.....	35
Figure 2.11 Pounding caused buildings damaged in the 2011 Christchurch earthquake (a) floor damaged the column, (b) loss of top floor (Cole <i>et al.</i> 2012)	49
Figure 3.1 Ground profile and the pile location.....	58
Figure 3.2 A typical adopted meshing scheme	59
Figure 3.3 Pile head load - displacement curves from both field measurements and numerical predictions.....	62
Figure 3.4 Numerical predictions for displacement contours (U) for (a) $s = 0D$ and (b) $s = 8D$ cases in X-Y plane	63
Figure 3.5 Pile Deflection, Rotation, and Bending moment Curves for (a) $s = 0D$ and (b) $s = 8D$ cases (D = the pile outside diameter)	65
Figure 3.6 Horizontal cross section 1 m below the ground surface indicating total displacements.....	66

Figure 4.1 Schematic modelling of the multi degree freedom structure (a) under fixed based condition excluding soil-structure interaction and (b) supported by shallow foundations considering dynamic soil-foundation-structure interaction.....	70
Figure 4.2 Problem definition of shallow foundation on soft soil	73
Figure 4.3 Representation of structural deformations for fixed base structure (a) first mode, (b) second mode, (c) third mode, and (d) forth mode	78
Figure 4.4 Elastic-perfectly plastic behaviour of structural elements.....	79
Figure 4.5 Secant shear modulus, G_{sec} and tangent shear modulus G_{tan}	81
Figure 4.6 Backbone curves relating (a) shear stiffness and (b) damping ratio to cyclic shear strain for cohesive soils	83
Figure 4.7 Adopted damping variations in this study for soft soil deposit and structure for numerical simulation of dynamic soil-foundation-structure interaction.....	84
Figure 4.8 Employed element types in the finite element numerical model: (a) soil elements (C3D8R); and (b) infinite elements (CIN3D8).....	84
Figure 4.9 Pressure - over closure relationship for ‘hard’ contact to define the normal behaviour of contact surfaces in the numerical model.....	86
Figure 4.10 Adopted earthquake records: : (a) 1994 Northridge earthquake; (b) 1995 Kobe; (c) 1940 El Centro earthquake; and (d) 1968 Hachinohe earthquake	88
Figure 4.11 Response of the fifteen-storey structure supported by shallow foundations with varies sizes under the influence of 1994 Northridge earthquake: (a) maximum lateral deflection; (b) maximum inter-storey drifts; (c) maximum shear force distribution.....	93
Figure 4.12 Response of the fifteen-storey structure supported by shallow foundations with varies sizes under the influence of 1995 Kobe earthquake: (a) maximum lateral deflection; (b) maximum inter-storey drifts; (c) maximum shear force distribution.....	94
Figure 4.13 Response of the fifteen-storey structure supported by shallow foundations with varies sizes under the influence of 1940 El Centro earthquake: (a) maximum lateral deflection; (b) maximum inter-storey drifts; (c) maximum shear force distribution.....	95

Figure 4.14 Response of the fifteen-storey structure supported by shallow foundations with varies sizes under the influence of 1968 Hachinohe earthquake: (a) maximum lateral deflection; (b) maximum inter-storey drifts; (c) maximum shear force distribution.....	96
Figure 4.15 Time-history rocking response of the fifteen-storey structure supported by shallow foundations with varies sizes under the influence of: (a) 1994 Northridge earthquake; (b) 1995 Kobe; (c) 1940 El Centro earthquake; (d) 1968 Hachinohe earthquake.....	99
Figure 4.16 Maximum rocking of the fifteen-storey structure supported by shallow foundations with varies under the influence of: (a) 1994 Northridge earthquake; (b) 1995 Kobe; (c) 1940 El Centro earthquake; (d) 1968 Hachinohe earthquake.....	101
Figure 4.17 Acceleration response spectrum with 5% damping ratio for the structure with different foundation types under the influence of: (a) 1994 Northridge earthquake; (b) 1995 Kobe; (c) 1940 El Centro earthquake; (d) 1968 Hachinohe earthquake.....	103
Figure 4.18 Representation of structural deformations considering soil-foundation-structure interaction (a) first mode and (b) second mode	104
Figure 5.1 Substructure method for modelling soil-pile-structure interaction; Step 1: evaluation of Foundation Input Motion (FIM) using transfer functions; Step 2: evaluation of impedance functions; Step 3: analysis of structure on compliant base subjected to FIM. .	110
Figure 5.2 Designed sections of 15-story moment-resisting building adopted in the numerical model.....	113
Figure 5.3 Backbone curve relating shear stiffness and damping ratio to cyclic shear strain for cohesive soils.....	118
Figure 5.4 Employed element types in the adopted finite element model:.....	119
Figure 5.5 Representation of curved surfaces of piles and surrounding soils and adopted geometry correction factors.....	121
Figure 5.6 Representation of adopted penalty method for stiff approximation of hard soil–pile contact simulation	122
Figure 5.7 Integrated soil– pile–structure system highlighting (a) boundary conditions, main grid, and contact surfaces; (b) numbered pile plan utilised in the numerical simulation; (c) adopted finite element model.	124

Figure 5.8 Response of the fifteen-storey structure supported by pile foundations with varied lengths under the influence of 1994 Northridge earthquake: (a) maximum lateral deflection; (b) maximum inter-storey drifts; (c) maximum shear force distribution....	126
Figure 5.9 Response of the fifteen-storey structure supported by pile foundations with varied lengths under the influence of 1995 Kobe earthquake: (a) maximum lateral deflection; (b) maximum inter-storey drifts; (c) maximum shear force distribution....	127
Figure 5.10 Response of the fifteen-storey structure supported by pile foundations with varied lengths under the influence of 1940 El Centro earthquake: (a) maximum lateral deflection; (b) maximum inter-storey drifts; (c) maximum shear force distribution....	128
Figure 5.11 Response of the fifteen-storey structure supported by pile foundations with varied lengths under the influence of 1968 Hachinohe earthquake: (a) maximum lateral deflection; (b) maximum inter-storey drifts; (c) maximum shear force distribution....	129
Figure 5.12 Foundation rocking history of the fifteen-storey supported by pile foundation with different pile lengths under: (a) Northridge earthquake, (b) Kobe earthquake, (c) El Centro earthquake and (d) Hachinohe earthquake.	132
Figure 5.13 Maximum rocking of the fifteen-storey supported by pile foundation with different pile lengths under: (a) Northridge earthquake, (b) Kobe earthquake, (c) El Centro earthquake and (d) Hachinohe earthquake.	134
Figure 5.14 Response spectrum of ground motions of the fifteen-storey supported by pile foundation with different pile lengths under: (a) Northridge earthquake, (b) Kobe earthquake, (c) El Centro earthquake and (d) Hachinohe earthquake.	137
Figure 5.15 Typical possible motions and vibration for natural frequencies.....	138
Figure 5.16 Lateral deflection of the piles under the 1994 Northridge earthquake	140
Figure 5.17 Bending moment of the piles under the 1994 Northridge earthquake.....	141
Figure 5.18 Shear force of the piles under the 1994 Northridge earthquake	142
Figure 5.19 Lateral deflection of the piles under the 1995 Kobe earthquake	143
Figure 5.20 Bending moment of the piles under the 1995 Kobe earthquake.....	144
Figure 5.21 Shear force of the piles under the 1995 Kobe earthquake	145
Figure 5.22 Lateral deflection of the piles under the 1940 El Centro earthquake	146

Figure 5.23 Bending moment of the piles under the 1940 El Centro earthquake	147
Figure 5.24 Shear force of the piles under the 1940 El Centro earthquake	148
Figure 5.25 Lateral deflection of the piles under the 1968 Hachinohe earthquake	149
Figure 5.26 Bending moment of the piles under the 1968 Hachinohe earthquake	150
Figure 5.27 Shear force of the piles under the 1968 Hachinohe earthquake	151
Figure 6.1 General setup of the soil-pile-structure system: (a) elevation view, and (b) plan view	162
Figure 6.2 ABAQUS 3D numerical model capturing both structural models and adopted soil-structure model	163
Figure 6.3 Designed structural sections and reinforcement details for (a) the moment resisting building and (b) the shear wall braced building	165
Figure 6.4 Adopted end-bearing foundation setup	166
Figure 6.5 Elements employed by adopted finite element model: (a) column element (B31); (b) slab element (S4R); (c) foundation slab element and soil element (C3D8R); (d) pile element (C3D15); and (e) infinite element (CIN3D8)	168
Figure 6.6 Adopted soil modulus degradation curve and corresponding damping ratio curve for cohesive soils with $PI = 15\%$	170
Figure 6.7 Demonstration of adopted mechanical model of contact interaction to capture possible seismic pounding	171
Figure 6.8 Representation of adopted penalty method for numerical softening of hard contact simulation	173
Figure 6.9 Demonstration of adopted Coulomb friction model	174
Figure 6.10 Demonstration of the surface-to-surface discretization applied to enforce soil-pile contact conditions	174
Figure 6.11 Representation of adopted exponential decay behaviour of the friction coefficient	176
Figure 6.12 Demonstration of adopted friction behaviour with penalty method of soil-pile contact interaction	177

Figure 6.13 Considered earthquake accelerogram: (a) the 1994 Northridge earthquake and (b) the 1995 Kobe earthquake	178
Figure 6.14 Response spectrum of ground motions of (a) the moment resisting building and (b) the shear wall braced building under the 1994 Northridge earthquake; and (c) the moment resisting building and (d) the shear wall braced building under the 1995 Kobe earthquake in conjunction with different separation gaps.....	181
Figure 6.15 Response spectrum of ground motions of (a) the moment resisting building and (b) the shear wall braced building under the 1994 Northridge earthquake; and (c) the moment resisting building and (d) the shear wall braced building under the 1995 Kobe earthquake in conjunction with different separation gaps.....	182
Figure 6.16 Total levelling shear forces of (a) the moment resisting building and (b) the shear wall braced building under the 1994 Northridge earthquake; and (c) the moment resisting building and (d) the shear wall braced building under the 1995 Kobe earthquake in conjunction with different separation gaps	184
Figure 6.17 Lateral building displacement of the moment resisting building and the left shear wall braced building and pounding force time histories of (a) level 15 and (b) level 10 under the 1994 Northridge earthquake.....	186
Figure 6.18 Maximum rocking of foundation slab of the moment resisting building and the shear wall braced building in conjunction with different separation gaps under (a) the 1994 Northridge and (b) the 1995 Kobe earthquakes	187
Figure 6.19 Maximum lateral structural deflections of (a) the moment resisting building and (b) the shear wall braced building under the 1994 Northridge earthquake; and (c) the moment resisting building and (d) the shear wall braced building under the 1995 Kobe earthquake in conjunction with different separation gaps.....	189
Figure 6.20 Maximum inter-storey drifts of (a) the moment resisting building and (b) the shear wall braced building under the 1994 Northridge earthquake; and (c) the moment resisting building and (d) the shear wall braced building under the 1995 Kobe earthquake in conjunction with different separation gaps	192
Figure 6.21 Maximum lateral pile deflections of (a) the moment resisting building and (b) the shear wall braced building under the 1994 Northridge earthquake; and (c) the	

moment resisting building and (d) the shear wall braced building under the 1995 Kobe earthquake in conjunction with different separation gaps.....	194
Figure 6.22 Deformation of structure-pile-soil system: (a) deformed piles, and (b) section view of deformed structure-pile-soil system.....	195
Figure 6.23 Bending moments of the piles of (a) the moment resisting building and (b) the shear wall braced building under the 1994 Northridge earthquake; and (c) the moment resisting building and (d) the shear wall braced building under the 1995 Kobe earthquake in conjunction with different separation gaps	196
Figure 6.24 Shear forces of the piles of (a) the moment resisting building and (b) the shear wall braced building under the 1994 Northridge earthquake; and (c) the moment resisting building and (d) the shear wall braced building under the 1995 Kobe earthquake in conjunction with different separation gaps	197

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Value of V_s/V_{so} and G/G_0 considering strain compatibility (ASCE7-10, 2010)	20
Table 3.1 Material properties of soil and pile adopted in the numerical simulation.....	61
Table 4.1 Characteristics of designed reinforced concrete column sections adopted in 3D finite element modelling	76
Table 4.2 Characteristics of the designed reinforced concrete floor slabs and foundations adopted in 3D numerical model	76
Table 4.3 Natural frequencies of the adopt 15 storey fixed base structure.....	77
Table 4.4 Adopted soil parameters in three dimensional finite element model for the soil-foundation-structure system.....	82
Table 4.5 Characteristics of the adopted earthquake records.....	88
Table 4.6 Variations of natural frequencies of soil-structure systems with different foundation size	91
Table 4.7 Variations of maximum lateral deflection at top of level 15 with different foundation size	91
Table 5.1 Characteristics of adopted concrete and steel reinforcement in structural designs.....	112
Table 5.2 Designed sections for structural beams, columns and slabs	112
Table 5.3 Summary of Characteristics of the Designed Reinforced Concrete Floor Slabs, Foundation, and Piles	114
Table 5.4 Adopted soil parameters for the soil-foundation-structure system	118
Table 5.5 Variations of natural frequencies of soil-pile-structure systems with different pile lengths	138
Table 6.1 Designed sections for columns, slabs and shear walls.....	160
Table 6.2 Characteristics of adopted soil	161
Table 6.3 Mass and stiffness coefficient of Rayleigh damping for adopted buildings	162

Table 6.4 Rayleigh damping for adopted soil deposit considering different earthquake excitations	166
Table 6.5 Variation of natural frequency of adopted moment resisting building and shear wall braced building.....	180

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Full text	Abbreviation
American Society of Civil Engineers design code	ASCE
Boundary element method	BEM
Building Seismic Safety Council	BSSC
Complete quadratic combination	CQC
Earthquake design category	EDC
Earthquake Wave Equation Analysis for Piles	EQWEAP
Federal Emergency Management Agency	FEMA
Global Positioning System	GPS
International Building Code	IBC
Linear dynamic procedure	LDP
Linear static procedure	LSP
National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program	NEHRP
National Computational Infrastructure	NCI
Nonlineal dynamic procedure	NDP
Nonlinear static procedure	NSP
Seismic Soil-foundation-structure-interaction	SSFSI
Seismic soil–pile–structure interaction	SSPSI
Single degree of freedom	SDOF
Soil-foundation-structure interaction	SFSI
Soil-pile-structure interaction	SPSI
Soil–structure-interaction	SSI
Structure-soil-structure-interaction	SSSI
Thin layer method	TLM

LIST OF NOTATIONS

$a(t)$	raw data record
$a_c(t)$	corrected acceleration record
$a_0(t)$	acceleration correction
$2a$	width of the foundation
A	Area, foundation width, cohesion
A_{loop}	area of the hysteresis
$[A]$	Damping matrix
B	width of the pile
B_b	width of building
B_f	width of foundation
B_s	width of soil model
c	damping coefficient, soil cohesion
c_h	damping coefficient in horizontal direction
c_θ	damping coefficient in rotation direction
\bar{c}	equivalent damping coefficient
C_1, C_2, C_3, C_k	modification factor for pseudo lateral load, constant
c'	cohesion, effective cohesion
c_p, c_s	velocities of the normal wave and shear wave
CL	clayey soil
$[C]$	damping matrix
D	diameter of pile
$Drift$	maximum inter-storey drifts of the building
d_p, d_s	distributed damping in the normal and shear directions
d_c	decay coefficient
d_{i+1}, d_i	Deflection at level $(i + 1)$ and (i)
$d_i(t)$	deflection history at level (i)
E	Young's modulus, modulus of elasticity of concrete
E_a, E_b, E_c, E_d, E_e	Soil classification according to AS1170.4 (2007)
E_s	soil subgrade reaction
E_p	Young's modulus of pile

E_x	soil reaction
F	force
F_x	vertical distribution of seismic force at each level
f	natural frequency
f_1, f_2	first and second mode frequencies in Rayleigh damping
f'_c	compressive strength of concrete
f_n	natural frequency of mode n
f_y, f_{sy}	yield strength
G	shear modulus, permanent action
G_0	average shear modulus
G_{max}	maximum shear modulus
G_{sec}	secant shear modulus
G_{tan}	tangent shear modulus
H	height of the structure, shear force, soil thickness, soil height
H_s	height of soil model
h	clearance or gap, height of level
h_s	floor slab thickness
h_f	foundation thickness
I	moment of inertia
I_p	moment of inertia of pile
I_x, I_y	moment of inertia about x axis and y axis
IE	bending stiffness of the beam
k	stiffness
k_h	stiffness in horizontal direction
k_i	soil resistance in segment (i)
k_y	lateral stiffness
k_θ	stiffness in rotation direction, rocking stiffness
\widetilde{k}	equivalent stiffness
\overline{k}	stiffness of the structure fixed at the base
$[K]$	stiffness matrix
L	length

L_b	length of building
L_f	length of foundation
L_{FE}	length of finite element section
L_{INF}	length of infinite element section
m	mass
M	bending moment
$[M]$	mass matrix
M_w	moment magnitude of earthquake in Richter scale
P	lateral load
p	load, contact pressure
p_i	load in segment (i)
P_0	amplitude of harmonic force
P_x	axle force from structure applied to pile head
PI	plasticity index
PGA	peak ground acceleration
q_s	lateral distributed load from soil to the pile
q_y	lateral distributed load along the beam
Q	Impose Action, shear force along the pile
R_{int}	reduction factor
r_{in}	inner radius of the pile
r_{out}	outer radius of the pile
$SDOF$	single degree of freedom
S	slope (or rotational deflection) of the pile, distance
S_a	response spectrum acceleration, spectral acceleration
S_{DS}	design earthquake motion
s_i	minimum distance between adjacent structure at level (i)
S_u	undrained shear strength
$(S_u)_{soil}$	undrained shear strength of soil
$[(S_u)_{int}]$	reduce shear strength at the interface
SD	separation gap
Δ	lateral deflections in $P - \Delta$ effect
Δ_{i1}, Δ_{i2}	lateral deflections of neighbouring structures

T	fundamental period
\widetilde{T}	effective fundamental period
T_0	characteristic period of the response spectrum
T_1 and T_2	limits of a time interval
t	time
u_0	structural distortion
u_t^0	total initial lateral displacement
u_t	total lateral displacement
\widetilde{u}_g	equivalent input motion
u_i, u_j	material particle displacement
$u_i(t)$	horizontal displacement history at level (i)
$u_0(t)$	horizontal displacement history at level (0)
\ddot{u}_i	material particle acceleration
V	pseudo lateral load, shear force
V_S	shear wave velocity
V_{S0}	average shear wave velocity
$v_c(t)$	corrected velocity record
W	total dead load and anticipated live load
\overline{W}	total dead load and anticipated live load of structure fix at base
W_D	dissipated energy
W_S	the maximum strain energy
x_i	position of pile at segment (i)
Y	deflection, pile deflection
y_i	deflection in segment (i)
z_i	depth of soil at segment (i)
α, β	mass damping coefficient and stiffness damping coefficient
γ	shear strain, unit weight
$\dot{\gamma}_1$ and $\dot{\gamma}_2$	two local slip velocity components
γ_c	cyclic shear strain, shear strain
γ_{max}	maximum shear strain
$\dot{\gamma}_{eq}$	equivalent slip rate
ε	strain of concrete material

ε_{yield}	strain at yield point
Z	damping ratio
Θ	angle
μ	coefficient of friction
μ_r, μ_p	residual coefficient of friction, peak coefficient of friction
ν	Poisson's ratio
ξ_0	hysteretic damping
Ξ	damping ratio
$\widetilde{\xi}$	equivalent damping ratio
ρ	density, mass density
σ, σ'	normal stress, effective normal stress
σ_y, σ_{yield}	yield stress of concrete material
τ	shear strength
τ_1 and τ_2	two orthogonal components of shear stress
τ_{cr}, τ_{crit}	critical shear stress
τ_{eq}	equivalent shear stress
τ_c	shear stress
φ, φ'	internal frictional angle, effective internal frictional angle
ψ	dilation angle
ω, ω_0	natural frequency
ω_i, ω_j	natural angular frequency for mode (i) and (j)
$\widetilde{\omega}$	equivalent natural frequency
ϕ, \emptyset and \emptyset'	friction angle and effective friction angle